UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,086	12/09/2005	Magali Bou	0589-1013	1216
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 11/24/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St Suite 500	reet	LILLING, HERBERT J		
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/560,086	BOU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HERBERT J. LILLING	1657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-09-2005:06-19-2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 1657

Receipt is acknowledged of an election and an amendment filed October
 2, 2008.

2. Claims 1-8 are now pending in this application.

Claims 9-17 have been cancelled.

3. Applicant has elected without traverse, Group I, claims 1-8, drawn to a product.

Applicant has elected the genus Lactobacillus, strain plantarum and specific strain DSM-9916.

- 4. Applicant was notified in the previous office action pertaining to issues as noted by paragraphs 10 and 11 which Applicants have failed to expedite possible allowance of patentable subject matter. The current claims have been considered to be possibly totally defective for a proper search and examination absent the requirements for the claimed subject matter as noted in the following paragraphs in the absence of reasonable showings to the contrary.
 - 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

Art Unit: 1657

described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected with respect Claim 8 drawn to the elected strain(s) as well as Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed to make and use the strain.

Page 3

The basis is that Applicant has failed to be in full compliance with the following:

U.S. Patent Rules of Deposits

It is apparent that strain(s) is required to practice the claimed invention(s) as recited in the claims. As a required element it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of strain(s). See 37 C. F. R. 1.802.

The specification does not provide a repeatable method for obtaining the strain(s) and it does not appear to be a readily available material. Deposit of strain(s) would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. If a deposit has been made, Applicant is required to meet the necessary criteria of the deposit rules in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-37 CFR 1.809.

If a deposit has not been supplied or made under the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty <u>and that all restrictions</u> imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be <u>irrevocably removed</u> upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements, See 37 CFR 1.808.

If a deposit is not made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

a) during the pendency of the application, access to the deposit will be afforded to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto;

Art Unit: 1657

b) all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material <u>will be irrevocably</u> removed upon the granting of a patent;

- c) the deposit will be maintained for a term of at least thirty (30) years and at least five (5) years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited material;
- d) a viability statement in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.807;

and

e) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination or loss of capability to function n the manner described in the specification.

In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d) should be added to the specification, See 37 CFR 1.803-37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanations of these requirements.

Please note that the mere reference to a deposit or the biological material itself in any document or publication does not necessarily mean that the deposited biological material is readily available. Even a deposit made under the Budapest Treaty and referenced in a United States or foreign patent document would not necessarily meet the test for known and readily available unless the deposit was made under conditions that are consistent with those specified in these rules, including the provision that requires, with one possible exception (37 CFR 1.808(b)), that all restrictions on the accessibility be irrevocably removed by the applicant upon the granting of the patent. Ex parte Hildebrand, 15 USPQ2d 1662 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1990).

Art Unit: 1657

Applicants are required that the specification contains sufficient information pertaining to the inherent properties of the elected deposit in accordance with the following:

"....the <u>identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d)</u> should be added to the specification, See 37 CFR 1.803-37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanations of these requirements."

The specification is rejected based on the lack of identifying properties for a proper search and examination for the claimed product species which includes the generic genus as well as the deposited strain(s).

Absent sufficient identifying properties, this Examiner will not be able to examine any of the product claims to make a reasonable search and examination of the required strain(s) commensurate in scope the claimed language in view of the specification that lacks inherent properties to perform a reasonable search.

Thus, Applicants are required:

- i. State on the record that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material <u>will be irrevocably</u> removed upon the granting of a patent.
- ii. Insert in the specification sufficient identifying inherent properties which include morphology, chemical and physical properties for the claimed strain(s).
 - 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- a) Claims 4 and 5-8 recites the limitation "malolactic" in claim 4 which depends upon Claim 1 which claim lacks insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as well as characteristics for the precursors and reaction conditions for glycerol.
- b) A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite,

Art Unit: 1657

since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

In the present instance, claims 1-8 recite the narrow recitation,

Claim 1, i) "at least 5 % and preferably at least 10 %";

ii) "at least 10 % and preferably at least 25% "

Claim 2, iii) and iv) contain the same as above, and the claim also recites great amount which is the broader statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 5 and 6 do not depend properly on claim 4.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1657

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b/e) as being anticipated by

References Carbo et al., Aislamiento Y SelectionVino"; Liu et al "Growth and Metabolism of Selective Lactic Acid Bacteria in Synthetic Wine"; Joyeus et al ComparisonMalolactic Fermentation"; Prahl , U.S. 7,112,346 or Henick-Kling et al U.S. 6,284,518 or Prahl, U.S. 5,077,060.

It is considered that each of the references teaches a Lactobacillus plantarum species within the scope of the claimed product absent a showing to the contrary that the reference product(s) are not capable of having the same chemical properties as claimed.

8. No claim is allowed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HERBERT J. LILLING whose telephone number is 571-272-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on WORK AT HOME MAXIFLEX.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JON WEBER can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1657

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918

Art Unit 1657

November 20, 2008

/HERBERT J LILLING/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657

Art Unit: 1657

The "selected lactic bacterial strain" for the claimed product strain are drawn to claimed process conditions under specific conditions which products have been considered to be old product strains absent a showing to the contrary.